



Commonwealth of Kentucky

Pension Performance and Best Practices Analysis

Interim Report #2:

Historical and Current Assessment

May 22, 2017



In conjunction with:

**PRM Consulting Group
Stites & Harbison PLLC**

I.	Executive Summary.....	1
II.	Overview of the Retirement Systems	11
III.	The Kentucky Retirement Funding Challenge	15
IV.	Cash Flow Concerns and Solvency Analysis	35
V.	Pension Budget Estimates.....	52
VI.	Sources of Increases in the Unfunded Liability	56
VII.	Benefit Structure	97
VIII.	Investment Analysis.....	112

Appendices

- A. Kentucky Benefit Structures
- B. Benchmarking Tabular Findings
- C. Full Investment Analysis

Error! Bookmark not defined.

I.	Executive Summary	1
	Large Unfunded Liabilities	1
	Weakest Pension Funding of Any State	2
	Eroding Financial Condition	2
	Multiple Factors Drove the Decline	3
	Cash Flow Trends and Solvency Risks	5
	Competitive Benefits	9
	Next Steps	9
II.	Overview of the Retirement Systems	11
	Kentucky Retirement Systems	15
	Teachers' Retirement System	15
	Judicial Form Retirement System (JFRS)	15
	Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB)	15
III.	The Kentucky Retirement Funding Challenge	15
	Magnitude of the Underfunded Liabilities	15
	Liability Composition	21
	Comparative Funded Levels	23
	Fiscal Pressures from Underfunding	26
	Credit Rating Pressures	31
	Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB)	33
IV.	Cash Flow Concerns and Solvency Analysis	35
	Cash Flow Analysis	35
	Solvency Analysis	46
V.	Pension Budget Estimates	52
VI.	Sources of Increases in the Unfunded Liability	56
	Explanation of the Categories	57
	Actuarial Back-loading	58
	Actuarial Assumption Changes	61
	Actuarial Experience	61
	Appropriation below the ARC	62
	Investment Performance	63

Cost-of-Living Adjustments (COLAs)	64
Plan-by-Plan Analysis	64
Teachers Retirement System	64
FY2006 Experience	64
Actuarial Assumptions	66
Actual vs Recommended Employer Funding	67
Recommended Employer Funding vs Interest on Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability	68
Investment Performance	69
KERS Non-Hazardous Retirement Plan	71
FY2006 Experience	71
Recommended Employer Funding vs Interest on Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability	74
KERS Hazardous Retirement Plan	76
Actual and Recommended Employer Contributions	76
Investment Performance	78
CERS Non-Hazardous Retirement Plan	79
Actual and Recommended Employer Contributions	79
Investment Performance	81
CERS Hazardous Retirement Plan	82
Actual and Recommended Employer Contributions	83
Investment Performance	84
State Police Retirement Plan	86
Actual and Recommended Employer Contributions	86
Investment Performance	88
Kentucky Judicial Retirement Plan	89
Kentucky Legislative Retirement Plan	92
Summary	94
VII. Benefit Structure	97
Industry Trends	98
KRS Pension Plans Compared to Other State Plans	101
TRS Pension Plan Compared to Other State Teacher Plans	103

	KRS OPEB Plans Compared to Other State Plans	104
	TRS OPEB Plans Compared to Other State Teacher Plans	105
	Quantitative Value of Benefits Comparison	106
VIII.	Investment Analysis	112
	Overview of Market Environment	112
	Allocation to Alternative Investments	113
	KRS Retirement Plans	114
	TRS Retirement Plans	118
	Investment Expenses	121
	Potential Impact of Segregating Assets	122
	Manager fees	122
	Third-Party Provider Fees	123